

# CONGRUENCE PERMUTABILITY IN QUASIVARIETIES

LUCA CARAI, MIRIAM KURTZHALS, AND TOMMASO MORASCHINI

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a natural notion of congruence permutability for quasivarieties already implies “being a variety”. The result follows immediately from [3] and the sole aim of this note is to state it explicitly, together with a telegraphic proof.

We denote the class operators of closure under isomorphic copies, subalgebras, homomorphic images, direct products, and ultraproducts by  $\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{S}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{P}$ , and  $\mathbb{P}_u$ , respectively. A class of algebras is said to be:

- (i) a *variety* when it is closed under  $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{S}$ , and  $\mathbb{P}$ ;
- (ii) a *quasivariety* when it is closed under  $\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{S}, \mathbb{P}$ , and  $\mathbb{P}_u$ .

While every variety is a quasivariety, the converse is not true in general. We call *proper* the quasivarieties that are not varieties. Examples of a proper quasivariety include the class of cancellative commutative monoids.

As quasivarieties need not be closed under  $\mathbb{H}$ , the following concept is often useful. Let  $\mathsf{K}$  be a quasivariety and  $\mathbf{A}$  an algebra (not necessarily in  $\mathsf{K}$ ). A congruence  $\theta$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathsf{K}$ -congruence when  $\mathbf{A}/\theta \in \mathsf{K}$ . When ordered under the inclusion relation, the set of  $\mathsf{K}$ -congruences of  $\mathbf{A}$  forms an algebraic lattice  $\mathbf{Con}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$  in which meets are intersections (see, e.g., [4, Prop. 1.4.7 & Cor. 1.4.11]). When  $\mathsf{K}$  is the quasivariety of all algebras of a given type,  $\mathbf{Con}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$  coincides with the congruence lattice  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Given a quasivariety  $\mathsf{K}$  and an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ , we denote by  $\wedge, \vee$  and  $\wedge^{\mathsf{K}}, \vee^{\mathsf{K}}$  the meet and join operations in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathbf{Con}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$  respectively. Moreover, we will rely on the following observation, which is an immediate consequence of [1, Lem. 4.2]: for every  $a, b \in A$  and  $X \subseteq \mathbf{Con}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$ ,

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \bigvee^{\mathsf{K}} X \iff \text{there exists a finite } Y \subseteq X \text{ such that } \langle a, b \rangle \in \bigvee^{\mathsf{K}} Y. \quad (1)$$

The following is a straightforward corollary of the Homomorphism Theorem (see, e.g., [2, Thm. II.6.12]).

**Proposition 1.** *A quasivariety  $\mathsf{K}$  is a variety if and only if  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{Con}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$  for every  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{K}$ .*

Given two binary relations  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  on a set  $A$ , we let

$$R_1 \circ R_2 = \{ \langle a, b \rangle \in A \times A : \text{there exists } c \in A \text{ s.t. } \langle a, c \rangle \in R_1 \text{ and } \langle c, b \rangle \in R_2 \}.$$

A variety  $\mathsf{K}$  is said to be *congruence permutable* when for every  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{K}$  and  $\theta, \phi \in \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  we have  $\theta \circ \phi = \phi \circ \theta$ . Equivalently,  $\mathsf{K}$  is congruence permutable if and only if  $\theta \vee \phi = \theta \circ \phi$  for every  $\theta, \phi \in \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  (see, e.g., [2, Thm. 5.9]). We will prove that the analogous version of the latter property for quasivarieties implies “being a variety”. This observation is a direct consequence of the results in [3], as shown in the next proof.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a quasivariety such that  $\theta \vee^{\mathbf{K}} \phi = \theta \circ \phi$  for every  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $\theta, \phi \in \text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$ . Then  $\mathbf{K}$  is a variety.*

*Proof.* In view of [3], it suffices to show that  $\text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$  is a complete sublattice of  $\text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  for every  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{K}$ . To this end, consider  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{K}$ . As meets are intersections in both  $\text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ , it suffices to show that

$$\bigvee^{\mathbf{K}} X \subseteq \bigvee X \text{ for every } X \subseteq \text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{A}). \quad (2)$$

We begin with the following observation.

**Claim 3.** *For every  $\theta, \phi \in \text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$  we have  $\theta \vee^{\mathbf{K}} \phi \subseteq \theta \vee \phi$ .*

*Proof of the Claim.* Since  $\theta \vee \phi$  contains  $\theta \circ \phi$  (see, e.g., [2, Thms. I.4.7 & II.5.3]) and the assumptions ensure that  $\theta \circ \phi = \theta \vee^{\mathbf{K}} \phi$ , we conclude that  $\theta \vee^{\mathbf{K}} \phi \subseteq \theta \vee \phi$ .  $\square$

To prove (2), consider  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \bigvee^{\mathbf{K}} X$ . By (1) there exists a finite  $Y \subseteq X$  such that

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \bigvee^{\mathbf{K}} Y. \quad (3)$$

First, suppose that  $Y$  is nonempty. By applying in sequence (3), Claim 3, and  $Y \subseteq X$ , we obtain

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \bigvee^{\mathbf{K}} Y \subseteq \bigvee Y \subseteq \bigvee X$$

and we are done. Next, suppose that  $Y$  is empty. In this case,  $\bigvee^{\mathbf{K}} Y$  is the minimum of  $\text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{A})$ . As  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{K}$  by assumption, this minimum is the identity relation on  $A$ . Together with (3), this yields  $a = b$ . Consequently,  $\langle a, b \rangle$  belongs to every congruence of  $\mathbf{A}$  and, in particular, to  $\bigvee X$ .  $\square$

We remark that Theorem 2 does not imply that every subquasivariety of a congruence permutable variety  $V$  is also a variety (counterexamples are well known and easy to find, e.g., in the case where  $V$  is the variety of all Heyting algebras).

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. J. Blok and J. G. Raftery. Ideals in quasivarieties of algebras. In *Models, algebras, and proofs (Bogotá, 1995)*, volume 203 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 167–186. Dekker, New York, 1999.
- [2] S. Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar. *A Course in Universal Algebra*. 2012. The millennium edition, available online.
- [3] M. Ćirić and S. Bogdanović. Posets of  $\mathfrak{C}$ -congruences. *Algebra Universalis*, 36(3):423–424, 1996.
- [4] V. A. Gorbunov. *Algebraic theory of quasivarieties*. Siberian School of Algebra and Logic. Consultants Bureau, New York, 1998. Translated from the Russian.

LUCA CARAI: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “FEDERIGO ENRIQUES”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA CESARE SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY

*Email address:* luca.carai.uni@gmail.com

MIRIAM KURTZHALS AND TOMMASO MORASCHINI: DEPARTAMENT DE FILOSOFIA, FACULTAT DE FILOSOFIA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA (UB), CARRER MONTALEGRE, 6, 08001 BARCELONA, SPAIN

*Email address:* mkurtzku7@alumnes.ub.edu and tommaso.moraschini@ub.edu